pppgved For Release 2000/08/29 ₿₽79-01153-4-00100150002-3

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **BUREAU OF THE CENSUS** WASHINGTON, D.C. 20233

April 25, 1968

## \*DOC Exemption Letter In ERU File\*

Mr. James A. Brammell, Director Basic and Geographic Intelligence Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Brammell:

In accordance with your letter of 10 April 1968, I am hereby submitting operating budget figures for FY 1968, FY 1969, and FY 1970 for the NIS staffs at the Bureau of the Census. These staffs are designated here in accordance with the project numbers assigned to them, as follows: project 7589 for the production in FY 1969 of Section 41 and the population sections of the General Survey and the single sociological volume; and project 7618 for the production in FY 1969 of Sections 4, 42, 43, and the single sociological volume.

25X1A

25X1A

It appears at this time that the total cost of project 7589 for FY 1968 will amount to grant or \$14,000 less than the amount allocated, and that the total cost of project 7618 will amount to or \$11,000 less than the amount allocated. The \$25,000 difference may safely be allocated for other purposes. Considering the 4.5 percent pay raise in October, which was not included in the budgets, the large differences between budgeted and expended funds were due to time gaps in filling vacancies. At present we have a full complement of personnel on project 7589 but have three typist and two analyst vacancies on project 7618. One typist will join us on May 5 and one analyst will join us on July 15. We think we shall be successful in recruiting another analyst by July 1 but the recruitment of typists to work at the new location in the Page Building on Wisconsin Avenue is extremely difficult. Needless to say, heavy personnel turnover and relatively poor success in recruitment have significantly affected production during FY 1968.

The projected budgets for FY 1969 and FY 1970 for each project are enclosed. These budgets are based on present salary rates and therefore do not reflect any pay increases that may become effective in July 1968 and July 1969. In line with your letter of 10 April and my discussions the FY 1969 budget for project 7589 reflects the

25X1A

reduction of one analyst and the FY 1970 budget reflects the further reduction of two analysts.

25X1A

cc: Brammell



Group 1

Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification.

26 Apr 1968 Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79-01153A000100150002-3 CONFIDENTIAL

## Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79-01153∆000100150002-3

2

For project 7618, the present number of budgeted personnel (19 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) remains the same for FY 1969 and FY 1970. In fact, the total budget for both years is the same as was submitted on 14 April 1967 for FY 1969. years is the same It may be noted, however, that these budgets were completely recalculated at this time taking into account as explicitly as possible the present staff, known resignations and retirements, in-grade increases, promotions on a minimum basis consistent with performance and staff stability, recruitment of analysts at the GS-9 or GS-11 level, etc. The 7 percent rise in costs between the budgets for FY 1968 and FY 1969 is attributable essentially to: the 4.5 percent pay raise that became effective in October 1967; the cost of a GS-9 analyst for the whole year rather than for 7 months only as a result of military leave for 5 months during FY 1968; and ingrade increases. The total cost for FY 1970 is projected to remain at about the same level as for FY 1969 essentially because the replacement of two GS-13 analysts (one of whom is to retire in July 1969 and one in March 1970) by two analysts at the GS-9 or GS-11 level will counterbalance normal increases in personnel costs.

Sincerely yours,

Faul F. Myers, Chief

Foreign Demographic Analysis Division

Enclosures

25X1A